|                      | ES DISTRICT COURT<br>FRICT OF NEW YORK |  |
|----------------------|--|--|
| VERONICA GA          | Y,                                     |  |
|                      | Plaintiff,                             | Case No.:  |
| v.<br>NATIONAL GR    | ID,                                    | COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL                  |
|                      | Defendant.                             | (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)                 |
|                      | COM                                    | <u> IPLAINT</u>                                      |
| VERONIO              | CA GAY ("Plaintiff"), b                | by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL &               |
| SILVERMAN, P.        | C., alleges the following ag           | ainst NATIONAL GRID ("Defendant"):                   |
|                      |  |  |
|                      | INTRO                                  | DDUCTION   |
| 1. Pla               | aintiff's Complaint is base            | ed on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act          |
| ("TCPA").            |  |  |
|                      |  |  |
|                      | JURISDICTI                             | ON AND VENUE   |
| 2. Jur               | risdiction of this Court aris          | ses pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. <u>See Mims v.</u> |
| Arrow Fin. Service   | ces, <u>LLC</u> , 132 S. Ct. 740, 74   | 7, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012).                         |
| 3. De                | efendant conducts business             | in the State of New York and, as such, personal      |
| jurisdiction is esta | ablished.                              | •  |
|                      | enue is proper pursuant to 28          | 3 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).                               |
|                      |  |  |
|                      |  |  |
|                      |  |  |

3

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Buffalo, New York 14213.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 7. Defendant is a lender with its principal place of business located at 40 Sylvan Road, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451.
  - 8. Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that she has had for over a year.
- 11. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number.
- 12. The phone number has been assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls.
- 13. Beginning in or around January 2015, and continuing through February 2015, Defendant called Plaintiff on her cellular telephone on a repetitive and continuous basis concerning her utility service with Defendant.
- 14. During the relevant period, Defendant called Plaintiff on her cellular telephone multiple times each day.
- 15. Upon information and belief, when contacting Plaintiff on her cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system.
  - 16. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes."

- 17. Frustrated by the repeated calls, Plaintiff told Defendant to stop calling early January 2015.
  - 18. Defendant assured Plaintiff its calls would stop.
  - 19. However, Defendant called Plaintiff several times thereafter.
  - 20. Thereafter, Plaintiff again advised Defendant to cease all calls.
- 21. Defendant once again acknowledged Plaintiff's request for calls to cease, but continue to call Plaintiff.

# DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 23. Defendant initiated these automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system.
  - 24. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.
- 25. After consent was revoked, Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made with Plaintiff's prior express consent.
- 26. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.
- 27. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.

| 1  |
|----|
| 2  |
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
|    |

Dated: June 23, 2015

28. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, VERONICA GAY, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(A);
- b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47
   U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B);
- c. Treble damages of \$1,500 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);
- d. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);
- e. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, VERONICA GAY, demands a jury trial in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel
Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire
Attorney ID # 2790038
Attorney for Plaintiff
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
1207 Delaware Avenue, Suite 440
Buffalo, NY 14209
Planta (716) 232 (112)

Phone: (716) 332-6112 Facsimile: (877) 788-2864 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com